



International Journal of Information Research and Review Vol. 06, Issue, 08, pp.6417-6421, August, 2019



A CARACTER STATE OF S

ELECTRICAL CONDUCTANCE AND ION PAIR FORMATION OF TRIMETHYLSULFONIUM HALIDES IN METHANOL-WATER MIXTURES AT 25°C

Marwa A. Daghash and *Nasr H. EL-Hammamy

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Alexandria University, Alexandria, P.O.426 Ibrahimia, Alexandria 21321, Egypt

ARTICLE INFO ABSTRACT

Article History:

Received 14th May, 2019 Received in revised form 10th June, 2019 Accepted 25th July, 2019 Published online 30th August, 2019

Keywords:

Trimethylsulfonium Halides, Electrical Conductance, Ion-Pair Formation.

Conductance of trimethylsulfonium halides (bromide and iodide) is measured at 25°C in methanolwater mixtures (44.94<D<73.92). The conductance parameters derived from the Fuoss-Onsager conductance theory indicate that the equivalent conductance at infinite dilution (Λ_o) and (a°) solvation for trimethylsulfonium halides decreases with the decrease of the dielectric constants until a certain minimum and then increases again. The plot of log K_A versus 1/D is found to be linear indicating that the equation of association can be applied. The association constant is analyzed using the solvent separated –ion pair model.

Copyright © 2019, Marwa A. Daghash and Nasr H. EL-Hammamy. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricte d use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

In recent studies on the conductivity of several alkyl ammonium halides in methanol and ethanol, Kay and his coworkers (Kay, 1965) obtained values of association constant (K_A) higher than those expected by using the Bjerrum-Fuoss theory (Bjerrum, 1926). They explained their results on the basis of the hypothesis that the ion-pair association process is affected by the particular structure of the alcohol. Studies on various electrolytes in alcohol - dipolar aprotic solvent mixture such as methanol-acetonitrile (Conti, 1968), methanol-pyridine (Conti, 1968) and ethanol-acetone (Pistoia, 1968) gave surprising results for K_A values, which were explained by assuming that changes in alcohol structure affect KA value much more than the change in dielectric constant. Miyoshi, (1972) measured the conductance of Bis (2,9dimethyl 1,10 phenanthroline) copper 1 perchlorate in normal alcohols and ketones at 25°C. He found that the plot of log KA versus 1/D give a curvature. This behaviour was also found in dioxane -water mixtures (Miyoshi, 1973), methanol -water mixtures (EI-Hammamy, 1989), ethanol -water mixtures (EI-Hammamy, 1995) and n-propanol -water mixtures (EI-Hammamy, 1991) at 25°C. It has been explained generally as being due to certain solute - solvent interaction. In the present work, trimethylsulfonium halides (bromide and iodide) are selected as electrolytes with large cation size.

*Corresponding author: Nasr H. EL-Hammamy,

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Alexandria University, Alexandria, P.O.426 Ibrahimia, Alexandria 21321, Egypt.

The effect of cation size on the conductance of the salts can be discussed from variation of Λ_o , K_A and a° values. The conductance measurements in methanol – water mixtures can also be analyzed using the Fuoss-Onsager equation (Fuoss, 1959) and the derived constants may then illustrate the electrolyte – solvent interaction.

Experimental: All salts were highly purified reagent grade and used without further purification. where trimethylsulfonium bromide (Me₃S.Br) and trimethylsulfonium iodide (Me₃S.I) are Analar analytical reagent "BDH".



Conductivity water: It was obtained by passing ordinary distilled water from a tin still over a 60 cm long **Elgstat deionizer** and guarded against contamination with atmospheric CO₂ by sodalime tubes. Its specific conductance \mathscr{B}_{\circ} amounted to (2-7 x 10⁻⁶) ohm⁻¹cm⁻¹.

Methanol: Analar analytical reagent methanol (BDH) was used without further purification. The specific conductance \Re_{\circ} for methanol were found to be (1.47- 2.21 x 10⁻⁶) ohm⁻¹cm⁻¹.

Properties of Solvent Mixtures: Densities (d) of mixtures, viscosities (η) of mixtures and dielectric constants (D) of the

methanol-water mixtures were used as reported in the literatures (El-Hammamy, 2013). All solutions were prepared by weight. Salts were weighed on a microbalance which reads to \pm 0.1 mg. Dilution was carried out successively into the cell by siphoning the solvent by means of weighing pipette. Conductivity Bridge was model Crison GLP31+ and the cell with bright platinum electrodes was used. The cell constant was 0.1 cm⁻¹ for dilute solutions.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Conductance of trimethylsulfonium halides (bromide and iodide) in methanol - water mixtures at 25°C was measured: The equivalent conductance Λ (Ω ⁻¹equiv⁻¹cm²) is calculated at several concentrations (equiv/l). By plotting the extrapolation of Λ against C^{1/2}, an approximate value of Λ_o was obtained. More precise values of limiting equivalent conductance Λ_o were resulted from the following Fuoss-Kraus-Shedlovsky (F.K.S) equation:

$$\frac{1}{\Lambda S_{(z)}} = \frac{1}{\Lambda_{\circ}} + \frac{(C\Lambda S_{(z)}f^2)}{K_D \Lambda_{\circ}^2}$$
(1)

Where K_D is the dissociation constant and $S_{(z)}$ is a function of z called Shedlovsky's function that was tabulated by Daggett. The z value could be determined from the expression:

$$z = \alpha \left(C\Lambda\right)^{1/2} / \Lambda_o^{3/2}, \qquad (2)$$

Where α is the limiting tangent (Onsager slope). The plot of $1/\Lambda S_{(z)}$ versus $(C\Lambda S_{(z)}f^2)$ gives $1/\Lambda_o$ as the intercept and $1/K_D\Lambda_o^2$ as the slope. More accurate values of Λ_o , $J_{(a)}$, a^o and K_A were obtained from Fuoss-Onsager equation (Fuoss, 1957). The starting Λ_o value was resulted from Fuoss-Kraus-Shedlovsky equation by the assist of specific computer program that was programmed on an IBM-PC. The desired accuracies in these calculations are ± 0.02 for Λ_o ; ± 2 for (J less than 200), ± 5 for (J with values range from 200 to 1000) and ± 10 for (J more than 1000).

The standard deviation σ_{Λ} was calculated using the equation (Brown, 1982):

$$\sigma_{\Lambda} = \frac{\{\Sigma \text{ (A calculated - } \Lambda \text{ observed})^2\}^{1/2}}{(N-3)^{1/2}}$$
(3)

where N is the number of experimental points.

The data were analyzed by using the Fuoss – Onsager equation (Fuoss, 1957). It can be readily seen from Table (1) and Figure (1) that, Λ_0 for Trimethylsulfonium Bromide and Trimethylsulfonium Iodide, in methanol-water mixtures were found to exhibit minima at 30 % methanol for the two salts. The occurrence of minimum value of Λ_0 is unexpected behavior, since on decreasing the dielectric constant, the ionic mobility is hindered and ion-pair formation is more possible, so Λ_0 should decrease with the decrease of dielectric constant. The viscosity of the medium will affect the mobility of the ions. As the viscosity of the medium is increased, the ion mobility will decrease and then the ion mobility will increase as the viscosity of the medium decrease.

This explains the unexpected behavior of Λ_o as a function of the dielectric constant and the viscosity of the medium. N. G. Foster and E. S. Amis (Foster, 1956), have reported similar behavior in case of tetraethylammonium picrate in methanol – water and ethanol – water mixtures (Whoton, 1958) and for potassium chloride in methanol – water systems (Foster, 1955). Sadek et al. (1977), have obtained similar behavior for Λ_o in case of s-alkylisothiouronium iodides in methanol – water mixtures at 25°C.

El – Hammamy et al. (2013); EI-Hammamy, 1986; EI-Hammamy, 1991, have obtained similar behavior for Λ_o in case of N–N–diphenyl-s-alkylisothiouromium bromides in methanol–water (El-Hammamy, 1986) at 25°C, Co (II) complexes of acetone thiosemicarbazone halides in methanol – water (El-Hammamy, 1991) at 25°C, acetylcholine halides and perchlorate in methanol – water (El-Hammamy, 1995) at 25°C, and also in case of s–acetylthiocholine halides and perchlorate in methanol – water (El-Hammamy, 1995) at 25°C, and also in case of s–acetylthiocholine halides and perchlorate in methanol – water (El-Hammamy, 1995) at 25°C, and also in case of s–acetylthiocholine halides and perchlorate in methanol – water (El-Hammamy, 2013) at 25°C.

Kawana and El –Hammamy (2002), have obtained similar behavior for Λ_o in case of S –acetylthiocholine bromide in npropanol – water mixtures at 25°C, which exhibits smooth curve and Λ_0 decrease with increasing n-propanol concentration, i.e., with decreasing dielectric constant of the medium. The plot of a°, J versus 1/D the dielectric constant in Figures (2, 3) shows a minimum at specific dielectric constant for the two studied salts in methanol-water mixtures. The initial slight decreases in a° for the two salts in methanol-water mixtures, can be explained on the basis that, the strength of hydrogen bonds between ions and water molecules was strongly affected by adding small amounts of methanol (i.e. a° decrease to minimum value).

On increasing methanol content, depolymerization occurs and an increase of a^o values was observed. The increase in log K_A vs. 1/D plots, shown in Figure (4), for trimethylsulfonium bromide and trimethylsulfonium iodide in methanol – water mixtures, may be explained on the basis that, the dielectric constant decreases with increasing the methanol content as shown in Table (2). This increase in log K_A versus 1/D plots shows the dominance of electrostatic interaction and may be due to solute – solvent interaction. The trend of K_A in the present work was explained in the light of the U term as represented in the following equation (Accascina, 1967):

$$\ln K_{A} = \ln \left(4\pi Na^{3}/3000\right) + (e^{2}/a^{3}DkT) + U$$
(4)

where,
$$U = \Delta S / k - E_s / kT$$
 (5)

The factor Es/kT introduced by Gilkerson (Gilkerson, 1956) indicates the ion-dipole interaction energy, which differs when ion pairs are taken into consideration. The entropy term Δ S/k refers to the entropy change due to various arrangements of solvent molecules around free ion and ion pairs. From Table (2), it is evident that U increases as the methanol weight percentage increases. This approves that, the entropy term increases with increasing weight percentage of methanol. Eventually, the solvent separated ion-pair model can be applied (Evans, 1969). The association steps are illustrated in the following scheme:

Wt%	D	$10^{3}/D$	Λ_{\circ}	J	a	K _A	log K _A	σ_{Λ}	
Trimethylsulfonium Bromide									
10 20 30 40 50 60 70	73.92 69.21 64.59 59.77 54.76 49.84 44.94	13.5281 14.4487 15.4822 16.7308 18.2615 20.0642 22.2518	125.96 123.21 120.48 121.60 122.72 123.09 124.89	346.82 365.45 389.01 475.37 602.51 778.97 1039.4	5.9 5.7 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7 5.8	83.482 96.290 146.98 173.50 217.32 268.76 343.08	1.9215 1.9835 2.1672 2.2392 2.3370 2.4293 2.5353	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0555\\ 0.0332\\ 0.0299\\ 0.0113\\ 0.0456\\ 0.0660\\ 0.0795\\ \end{array}$	
Trimethylsulfonium Iodide									
10 20 30 40 50 60	73.92 69.21 64.59 59.77 54.76 49.84	13.5281 14.4487 15.4822 16.7308 18.2615 20.0642	122.01 119.24 117.33 120.10 121.62 122.78	368.83 385.42 435.71 532.57 677.03 876.12	6.5 6.3 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.6	103.41 120.26 173.40 230.36 298.93 369.71	2.0145 2.0801 2.2390 2.3624 2.4755 2.5678	0.0959 0.1212 0.0374 0.0308 0.0376 0.0475	
70	44.94	22.2518	123.96	1168.1	6.7	459.29	2.6620	0.0306	

Table 1. Characteristic parameters for trimethylsulfonium bromide and trimethylsulfonium iodide in methanol - water mixtures at 25 °C

Table 2. Calculated values of K₂ and U for trimethylsulfonium bromide and trimethylsulfonium iodide in methanol – water mixtures at 25°C:

wt%	K _A	K ₁	K ₂	U				
Trimethylsulfonium Bromide								
10								
20	83.482	1.8703	43.6345	3.7985				
30	96.29	1.9313	48.8558	3.9091				
40	146.98	1.9781	73.3024	4.3081				
50	173.50	2.3052	74.2640	4.3210				
60	217.32	2.7509	77.9988	4.3694				
70	268.76	3.3540	79.1296	4.3836				
	343.08	4.2192	80.3122	4.3982				
Trimethylsulfonium Iodide								
10								
20	103.41	2.2212	45.5538	3.8406				
30	120.26	2.2778	51.7958	3.9664				
40	173.40	2.4335	70.2548	4.2662				
50	230.36	2.7903	81.5562	4.4134				
60	298.93	3.2679	90.4736	4.5160				
70	369.71	3.9791	91.9128	4.5316				
80	459.29	4.8728	93.2543	4.5459				

Table 3. Calculations of radii of the ions for trimethyl sulfonium bromide and trimethyl sulfonium iodide in methanol - water mixtures at $25^\circ\rm C$

wt %	$Λ_{\circ} \eta_{\circ}$ ⁽¹⁾	λ ⁻ η ^{, (1)}	$\lambda^+ \eta^{(1)}$	$R^{-(2)}$	$R^{+(2)}$	$R^{+}+R^{-}(2)$	a° ⁽²⁾		
Trimethylsulfonium Bromide									
10	1.4554	0.8961	0.5508	0.9143	1.4874	2.4017	5.9		
20	1.6990	1.0461	0.6428	0.7832	1.2747	2.0579	5.7		
30	1.8553	1.1423	0.7041	0.7172	1.1637	1.8810	5.4		
40	1.9310	1.1889	0.7379	0.6891	1.1103	1.7995	5.5		
50	1.8874	1.1621	0.7225	0.7050	1.1340	1.8391	5.6		
60	1.7195	1.0587	0.6603	0.7739	1.2407	2.0147	5.7		
70	1.5299	0.9419	0.5860	0.8698	1.3980	2.2679	5.8		
Trimethylsulfonium Iodide									
10	1.4098	0.8673	0.5508	0.9446	1.4874	2.4321	6.5		
20	1.6443	1.0116	0.6428	0.8099	1.2747	2.0846	6.3		
30	1.8068	1.1116	0.7041	0.7370	1.1637	1.9008	6.2		
40	1.9071	1.1733	0.7379	0.6983	1.1103	1.8087	6.3		
50	1.8705	1.1508	0.7225	0.7120	1.1340	1.8461	6.4		
60	1.7152	1.0552	0.6603	0.7764	1.2407	2.0172	6.6		
70	1.5185	0.9342	0.5860	0.8770	1.3980	2.2751	6.7		

(1) ohm⁻¹ equiv⁻¹ cm² p

(6)

$$(\text{Trimethylsulfonium})^+ + X^- (\text{solvent})_m$$

$$\downarrow K_1$$

(Trimethylsulfonium)⁺ (solvent)_n X⁻ Case (I) solvated form

 $\begin{array}{l} (\mbox{Trimethylsulfonium}) \ X \ (\mbox{solvent})_{n\mbox{-}v} \\ Case \ (II) \ Desolvated \ form \end{array}$

Where y = number of escaping solvent molecules from salvation

Thus, the association constant K_A is obtained from the conductance measurements according to the equation:

$$\mathbf{K}_{A} = \mathbf{K} \quad \Sigma \quad \frac{\left[\mathbf{C}_{(\text{ion-pairs})}\right]}{\left[\mathbf{C}_{(\text{Trimethylsulfonium})^{+}}\right] \left[\mathbf{C}_{X^{-}(\text{solvent})n}\right]} = \mathbf{K}_{1} (1 + \mathbf{K}_{2})$$

where $K_1 = 4 \pi N a^{o 3} e^{b} / 3000$ and $b = e^2 / a^o DTk$ then K_2 can be calculated.



Figure 1. Variation of Λ_0 of Trimethylsulfonium Salts with the composition of methanol - water mixtures



Figure 2. Variation of a° with dielectric constant of Trimethylsulfonium Salts in methanol - water mixtures



Figure 3. Variation of J with dielectric constant of Trimethylsulfonium Salts in methanol - water mixtures.

From the data given in Table (2), it was clear that the values of K_2 increase with decreasing the dielectric constant. This means; as the dielectric constant decreases, the ion-pair prefers the desolvated form (II) more than solvated form (I).



Figure 4. Variation of $\log K_A$ with dielectric constant of Trimethylsulfonium Salts in methanol -water mixtures



Figure 5. Variation of Walden Product with composition of methanol -water mixtures

Figure (5) shows that, the Walden Product $\Lambda_0\eta_0$, as a function of solvent composition for trimethylsulfonium bromide and iodide in methanol-water mixtures at 25°C, the values of $\Lambda_0\eta_0$ increase with the increase in wt% up to about 40% and then it

decrease rapidly. The variation of Walden Product $\Lambda_0\eta_0$ reflects the change of total solvation (Raju, 1982). The decrease of the Walden product indicates the increase of the hydrophobic solvation with increasing concentration of methanol (70%).

Radii of ions

The electrostatic radii R⁺ and R⁻ were calculated by using

Stokes' equation:

$$R^{\pm} = 0.8194 \times 10^{-8} / \lambda_{\circ}^{\pm} \eta_{\circ}$$
⁽⁷⁾

The application of Stokes' equation depends on the determination of the ionic conductance. According to Fuoss assumption (Fuoss, 1958), the ionic equivalent conductance for cations and anions in methanol-water mixtures can be calculated as transport number is independent on the solvent composition. These values are summarized in Table (3).

From Table (3) It can be seen easily that, for trimethylsulfonium bromide and iodide R^+ and R^- increase on decreasing the dielectric constant. On comparing the summation of electrostatic radii ($R^+ + R^-$) with the closest distance of approach å, which was previously derived using Fuoss Onsager equation, one can observe that å is always larger than electrostatic radii ($R^+ + R^-$), obtained from Stokes' equation in methanol-water mixtures due to the solvation of ions. Hughes and Hartly (Hughes, 1933), observed the same trend in electrostatic radii for Li⁺, Na⁺, K⁺, N(C₂H₅)₄⁺, Cl⁻, Br⁻, I⁻, ClO₄⁻ and picrate ions in alcohol-water and in acetone-water mixtures.

Kortium and Welier (Kortium, 1950), calculated R's for perchlorate ion (P_i) in both alcohol and water solutions. The results were: $Rp_i^-(H_{2}o) = 3.33$ Å, in (MeOH) = 3.7 Å and in (EtOH) = 3.8 Å. The authors also found that R's for Li⁺ in ethanol-water increases from water to ethanol. On comparing the summation of electrostatic radii (R⁻ + R⁺) with the closest distance of approach å which was previously derived using Fuoss Onsager equation, å was always greater except in case of rich methanol content. This behavior can be explained using Nightingale's (Nightingale, 1959) conclusion, for water and mixed aqueous solvents of high dielectric constant and high viscosity, Stokes' equation gives inappropriate small value due to discontinuity of the medium.

Conclusion

This paper aims to shed light on the association process of the organic compound: trimethylsulfonium halides (bromide and iodide); which has been interpreted by solvent separated – ion pair model. Moreover, the limiting equivalent conductance (Λ_o) of trimethylsulfonium halides in mixtures of methanolwater at 25°C was discussed in details. By plotting the extrapolation of Λ against C^{1/2}, preliminary values of Λ_o were estimated. Consequently, more precise values of Λ_o were resulted by applying Fuoss-Kraus-Shedlovsky equation. Finally, more accurate values of Λ_o , J (function of a), å and K_A were obtained from Fuoss-Onsager equation.

A comparison between the summation of electrostatic radii $(R^+ + R^-)$ and the closest distance of approach å was done; it was observed that å is always larger than electrostatic radii $(R^+ + R^-)$ due to the solvation of ions.

REFERENCES

- Accascina, F. 1967. A. D'Aprano and R. Triolo, J. Phys. Chem., 71, 3469.
- Bjerrum, N. 1926. kgl. Danske Videnskab. Selskab., Mat. Phys. Medd., 7, 9.
- Brown, G. W. 1982. Am. J. Dis. Child., 136, 93.
- Conti F. and Pistoia, G. 1968. J. Phys. Chem., 72, 2245.
- Conti, F., Delogu P. and Pistoia, G. 1968. J. Phys. Chem., 72, 1396.
- EI-Hammamy, N. H. 1991. Bull. Fac. Sci. Alex. Univ., 31 A, 20 (1991).
- El-Hammamy, N. H., Ahmed, A. M., El-Bardisy F. F. and Abd El-Halim, F. M. 1989. Alex. Eng. J., 28, 867.
- EI-Hammamy, N. H., Ahmed, A. M., F. F. El-Bardisy and F. M. Abd El-Halim, 1989. Alex. Eng. J., 28, 867.
- EI-Hammamy, N. H., EI-Shazly, S. A., Kawana A. I. and Abd EI-Halim, F. M. 1991. J. Chem. Soc. Pak., 13, 236.
- EI-Hammamy, N. H., Hasanein, A. A., Mahmoud H. A. and Abd EI-Halim, F. M. 1986. J. Chem. Soc. Pak., 8, 125.
- EI-Hammamy, N. H., Kawana, A. I., EI-Bardisy F. F. and Abd El-Halim, F. M. 1995. Bull. Electrochem., 11, 253.
- EI-Hammamy, N. H., Kawana, A. I., EI-Bardisy F. F. and Abd El-Halim, F. M. 1995. Bull. Electrochem., 11, 253.
- El-Hammamy, N. H., El-Kashlan H. M. and Moharam, H. M. 2013. Asian J. Chem., 25(4), 2221.
- Evans D. F. and Gardam, P. 1969. J. Phys. Chem., 73, 158.
- Foster N. G. and Amis, E. S. 1955. Z. Phys. Chem. Neuefolge, 3, 366.
- Foster N. G. and Amis, E. S. 1956. Z. Phys. Chem. Neuefolge, 7, 360.
- Fuoss R.M. and Onsager, L. 1957. J. Phys. Chem., 61, 668. Fuoss, R.M. 1959. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 81, 2659.
- Fuoss, R. M. 1958. J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 80, 5059.
- Gilkerson, W. R. 1956. J. Chem. Phys., 25, 1199.
- Hughes O. L. and Hartly, H. 1933. Phil. Mag., 15, 610.
- Kawana A. I. and EI-Hammamy, N. H. 2002. Egypt J. Chem., 42 (2), 34.
- Kay, R. L., Zawoyski C. and Evans, D. F. 1965. J. Phys. Chem., 69, 4208.
- Kortium G. and Welier, A. 1950. Z. Nature. Forsch., 590.
- Miyoshi K. and Tominaga, T. 1973. J. Phys. Chem., 77, 819.
- Miyoshi, K. 1972. J. Phys. Chem., 76, 3029.
- Nightingale, E. R. 1959. J. Phys. Chem., 63, 1381.
- Pistoia, G. 1968. Ric. Sci., 38, 1850.
- Raju, U. G. K., Sethuram B. and Rao, T. N. 1982. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 55, 293.
- Sadek, H., Hafez A. M. and EI-Hammamy, N. H. 1977. Pakistan J. Sci. Res., 29, 100.
- Whoton R. and Amis, E. S., Phys. Z. 1958. Chem. Neuefolge, 17, 300.